**Questions:**

1. How do you decide when a technique is safe enough to try in humans?
2. Is this an ethical use of medical resources?
3. An adult could agree to having their genes changed and live with the risks or benefits. Are there different considerations when the subject of genome editing is a potential child with no say in the matter?
4. Could changing the genes of an embryo cause unexpected problems if the embryo does develop into a baby?
5. To what extent could CRISPR or other similar techniques affect complex traits like intelligence or athleticism?
6. How do you decide whether a trait is medical or non-medical (in other words, whether a procedure is a treatment or an enhancement)?
7. Are people more likely to take on risks for something that could cure a devastating disease than something that could improve their athletic ability? Does the government have the responsibility of “protecting people from themselves”?
8. If someone was harmed by genome editing for “enhancement,” and needed more medical attention as a result – could someone be sued? Who would have to pay?
9. How is this research being paid for? Does it matter if public money such as taxes is used versus if it is privately paid for?