www.nature.com/onc

MAP kinase signalling pathways in cancer

AS Dhillon¹, S Hagan¹, O Rath¹ and W Kolch^{1,2}

¹The Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Bearsden, Glasgow, UK and ²Sir Henry Wellcome Functional Genomics Facility, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

Cancer can be perceived as a disease of communication between and within cells. The aberrations are pleiotropic, but mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways feature prominently. Here, we discuss recent findings and hypotheses on the role of MAPK pathways in cancer. Cancerous mutations in MAPK pathways are frequently mostly affecting Ras and B-Raf in the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway. Stress-activated pathways, such as Jun N-terminal kinase and p38, largely seem to counteract malignant transformation. The balance and integration between these signals may widely vary in different tumours, but are important for the outcome and the sensitivity to drug therapy.

Oncogene (2007) **26**, 3279–3290. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210421

Keywords: MAPK; cancer; signal transduction; oncogenes

Introduction

Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways are evolutionarily conserved kinase modules that link extracellular signals to the machinery that controls fundamental cellular processes such as growth, proliferation, differentiation, migration and apoptosis. MAPK pathways are comprised of a three-tier kinase module in which a MAPK is activated upon phosphorylation by a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MAPKK), which in turn is activated when phosphorylated by a MAPKKK (Figure 1). To date six distinct groups of MAPKs have been characterized in mammals; extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)1/2, ERK3/ 4, ERK5, ERK7/8, Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK)1/2/3 and the p38 isoforms $\alpha/\beta/\gamma(ERK6)/\delta$ (Schaeffer and Weber, 1999; Chen et al., 2001b; Kyriakis and Avruch, 2001; Krens et al., 2006). The current consensus is that tumorigenesis requires deregulation of at least six cellular processes (Johnson et al., 1996), and that cancer cells have to acquire the following capabilities: independence of proliferation signals, evasion of apoptosis, insensitivity to anti-growth signals, unlimited replicative potential, the ability to invade and metastasize and to attract and sustain angiogenesis for nutrient supply (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000). To this we may add acquisition of drug resistance and avoidance of oncogene induced senescence. Abnormalities in MAPK signalling impinge on most, if not all these processes, and play a critical role in the development and progression of cancer. As the literature on MAPK pathways and cancer is huge and includes comprehensive recent reviews (Downward, 2003; Wellbrock et al., 2004; Kolch, 2005; Bradham and McClay, 2006; Galabova-Kovacs et al., 2006; Kohno and Pouyssegur, 2006; Torii *et al.*, 2006), we will take the liberty of a more subjective view and discuss emerging areas and interesting questions in the field.

The ERK pathway

The ERK pathway is the best studied of the mammalian MAPK pathways, and is deregulated in approximately, one-third of all human cancers. Historically, ERK signalling was synonymous with cell proliferation but it is now clear that that deregulation of this pathway is linked to many other aspects of the tumour phenotype. In the ERK MAPK module, ERK (ERK1 and ERK2) is activated upon phosphorylation by MEK (MEK1 and MEK2), which is itself activated when phosphorylated by Raf (Raf-1, B-Raf and A-Raf). ERK signalling is activated by numerous extracellular signals. The pathway whereby growth factors and mitogens activate ERK signalling is of particular relevance to cancer. In this pathway, ligand-mediated activation of receptor tyrosine kinases triggers guanosine triphosphate (GTP) loading of the Ras GTPase, which can then recruit Raf kinases to the plasma membrane for activation. Most cancer-associated lesions that lead to constitutive activation of ERK signalling occur at these early steps of the pathway, namely, overexpression of receptor tyrosine kinases, activating mutations in receptor tyrosine kinases, sustained autocrine or paracrine production of activating ligands, Ras mutations and B-Raf mutations (Figure 2). However, there is also amplification or deregulation of its nuclear transcription factor targets, most notably myc and AP-1. In addition, cancer cells may switch the repertoire of extracellular matrix receptors they express to one that favours the

npg

Correspondence: Dr AS Dhillon, The Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK or Professor W Kolch, The Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK. E-mails: a.dhillon@beatson.gla.ac.uk or wkolch@beatson.gla.ac.uk



Figure 1 Schematic overview of MAPK pathways. See text for details.





transmission of pro-growth signals. Such growth promoting integrins can activate Ras signalling (Giancotti and Ruoslahti, 1999). Thus, the fact that deregulation of this pathway in cancer occurs at several levels underlines its importance. The high frequency of activating mutations centred around the Ras-Raf axis suggests that this is the regulatory hotspot of the pathway. Indeed mathematical modelling predicts that Ras and Raf activation are very sensitive points of regulation that can determine the overall activation profile (Orton et al., 2005). Further, the fact that Ras and B-Raf mutations rarely occur in the same tumour cell can be taken as indication that Raf is a main effector pathway of Ras in human carcinogenesis. However, an alternative explanation is that Ras and B-Raf mutations could be synthetic lethal, and there is some evidence for that showing that co-expression of mutant B-Raf with mutant N-Ras induces senescence (Petti et al., 2006).

Ras

Ras GTPases act as molecular switches that control the activity of many signalling pathways. Activating mutations in K-Ras and N-Ras occur in varying frequencies in different types of cancer and have been recently reviewed (Downward, 2003; Sebolt-Leopold and Herrera, 2004). These mutations, invariably found at codons 12, 13 or 61, prevent efficient GTP hydrolysis, rendering Ras in an active, GTP-bound state. In this conformation, Ras oncogenes can bind and activate their effectors including Raf. Although initially thought to occur mainly at the plasma membrane, there is increasing evidence that isoform-specific Ras signalling can take place at different cellular compartments and within different regions of the plasma membrane (Hancock, 2003; Hancock and Parton, 2005; Philips, 2005; Mor and Philips, 2006). Such compartmentalization and trafficking of endogenous Ras oncogenes is likely to play an important role in regulating downstream signalling processes involved in tumorigenesis and is a subject that requires further investigation. For instance, one could envision drugs that selectively target oncogenic functions of Ras by affecting its subcellular localization. It would be interesting to analyse whether the subcellular localization of mutant Ras proteins is altered in tumours.

GTP-loaded Ras also recruits other molecules that play an important role nucleating an active signalling complex that is competent in activating ERK (Kolch, 2005). These complexes include scaffolds such as KSR (Therrien *et al.*, 1996) and SUR-8/SHOC-2 (Li *et al.*, 2000) which modulate the activation of Raf by Ras. Although no mutations in these scaffolds have been reported in human cancer, KSR knock-out mice have a reduced tumour susceptibility (Nguyen *et al.*, 2002) pointing to a role of these proteins in cancer development.

The importance of Ras proteins in a variety of tumours suggested that they would be good therapeutic targets (Sebolt-Leopold and Herrera, 2004). For Ras to function as signal transducer, it has to associate with the plasma membrane. This step requires isoprenylation (farnesylation or geranylation) near the Ras C-terminus. Consequently, Ras was targeted isoprenylation inhibitors. However, in the clinic these inhibitors were largely disappointing (Beeram et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2003). The reason is not entirely clear. In part this may be related to observations suggesting that the anti-tumour effects of farnesylation inhibitors are due to effects on Rho rather than due to Ras inhibition (Du and Prendergast, 1999). Further, farnesylation inhibitors do not distinguish between normal and mutant Ras, and as normal Ras can counteract the transforming action of mutant Ras (To et al., 2006), they may remove accelerator and brakes at the same time. Thus, more recent efforts have been focussed on the disrupting signalling downstream of Ras.

Raf regulation

Raf kinases are direct effectors of Ras and lie at the apex of the ERK pathway kinase module. The structures of the three Raf proteins are similar, but there are salient differences how they are activated (O'Neill and Kolch, 2004; Wellbrock et al., 2004). Though sharing several common structural characteristics, the three mammalian Raf isoforms differ considerably in their modes of regulation, tissue distributions and abilities to activate MEK (Wellbrock et al., 2004). Genetic ablation of the different Raf isoforms in mice suggests that they serve mainly non-redundant roles in vivo (O'Neill and Kolch, 2004; Galabova-Kovacs et al., 2006). Once bound to Ras, Raf kinases are activated by a complex sequence of events involving phosphorylation, protein-protein and protein-lipid interactions (Dhillon and Kolch, 2002; Chong et al., 2003; Wellbrock et al., 2004). These events increase the catalytic ability of Raf both by neutralising autoinhibition and facilitating activation of the kinase domain. Raf-1 activation involves a complex series of changes in phosphorylation, which entail the dephosphorylation of an inhibitory site, S259, and the phosphorylation of the N-region including a critical activating site, S338, as well as phosphorylation of the activation loop for maximal activation. These sites are conserved in A-Raf, and activation seems to follow a similar pattern to Raf-1. However, B-Raf has already a negative charge in the N-region due to twin aspartic acids and the equivalent of Raf-1 S338 is constitutively phosphorylated. Additionally, Ras alone is sufficient to activate B-Raf, whereas Raf-1 requires other factors in addition. However, it is still unclear which of the Raf isoforms is required to activate ERK, and this may be different dependent on the cellular context and the stoichiometries of Raf isoforms (Galabova-Kovacs et al., 2006).

B-raf mutations and raf-1/b-raf heterodimers

B-Raf has attracted enormous interest, as the *b*-raf gene is found mutated in 66% of malignant melanomas (Davies et al., 2002), and at a lower frequency in many other human malignancies, including colon cancer, papillary thyroid cancer and serous ovarian cancer. This discovery has firmly established the involvement of Raf kinases in cancer. The most common mutation (ca. 90%) is a V600E change in the activation loop that induces the constitutive activation of catalytic activity (Wan et al., 2004). Curiously, in melanoma this mutation is rare in unexposed or chronically sundamaged skin, but frequent in skin with intermittent sun exposure and often accompanied by amplification of the mutant allele (Maldonado et al., 2003). The importance of localization is underlined by the observation that B-Raf mutations do not occur in melanomas of the uvea (Spendlove et al., 2004). Further, the frequency of B-Raf mutations in melanoma is positively linked with genetic variants of the melanocortin-1 receptor (Landi et al., 2006) in melanocytes, and in colorectal carcinoma (CRC) with microsatellite instability (MSI). Although it has no bearing on the good prognosis of MSI-positive tumours, it is associated with poor prognosis in microsatellite-stable cancers (Samowitz et al., 2005). Further, B-Raf mutations in CRC correlated with a high level of multiple promoter methylation at CpG islands, whereas K-Ras mutation only showed a weak association (Nagasaka *et al.*, 2004). These findings suggest that B-Raf mutations are promoted by complex genetic interactions rather than physicochemical mechanisms. They also could indicate that B-Raf mutations are lethal unless a certain genetic and biochemical microenvironment permits such cells to survive.

Studies into the mechanisms of oncogenic B-Raf signalling have highlighted novel mechanisms by which, Raf kinases activate MEK-ERK signalling that in part differ from the classical Ras pathway. The V600E mutation drastically elevates B-Raf kinase activity and its ability to activate the ERK pathway, as do most other of the cancer-associated mutations (Garnett and Marais, 2004). Curiously, a few mutations do not elevate B-Raf kinase activity, yet are still able to activate MEK-ERK signalling (Wan et al., 2004). This puzzle gave rise to recent discoveries that B-Raf heterodimerizes with Raf-1 and can signal through Raf-1 (Garnett et al., 2005; Rushworth et al., 2006). These studies showed that Raf-1/B-Raf heterodimerization is part of the physiological activation mechanism and contribute an important part to activation of the ERK pathway by low activity B-Raf mutants, but differ in details. Rushworth et al. (2006) showed that Raf-1/ B-Raf heterodimerization was stimulated by mitogens, enhanced by 14-3-3, and that in the context of the heterodimer either Raf isoform could activate the other. Direct measurements of the kinase activities of the heterodimers showed that despite its low abundance it contributed to a substantial level of ERK activity. The kinase activity of the Raf-1/B-Raf heterodimer towards MEK was considerably higher than the activity of B-Raf

3282

or Raf-1 on their own suggesting the intriguing possibility that the heterodimer may be the main MEK activator, whereas the non-heterodimeric isoforms may work in other pathways. The Marais group found that the activation of Raf in the heterodimer is one way, that is, B-Raf can activate Raf, but not vice versa, and that this type of activation of Raf-1 by B-Raf mutants occurs through Raf-1 activation loop phosphorylation independently of Ras (Garnett et al., 2005). This would indicate a profound difference between the physiological activation of Raf-1 that seems to obligatory require Ras (Marais et al., 1998), and the activation of Raf-1 by B-Raf mutants, by implication suggesting that a tumour-specific mechanism for Raf-mediated MEK activation exists. This may explain why tumour cells with *B-Raf* mutations apparently are exquisitely sensitive to MEK inhibition whereas tumour cells with Ras mutations are rather resistant (Solit et al., 2006). Conceptually, this is surprising as it would indicate that Ras can transform cells without the need to activate MEK, contradicting the tenet that B-Raf is the main effector of Ras transformation. Thus, the role of Raf-1/ B-Raf dimerization clearly is of high interest and relevance for carcinogenesis, and warrants further investigations in order to draw firm conclusions about the molecular mechanism. In this context it is interesting to note that normal melanocytes seem to preferentially use B-Raf to activate ERK, because Raf-1 activity is suppressed by cyclic AMP-dependent kinase (PKA) signalling. However, Ras mutations in melanoma cells uncouple PKA from Raf-1 regulation causing a switch from B-Raf to Raf-1 signalling and ERK activation becoming dependent on Raf-1 (Dumaz et al., 2006). It would be interesting to investigate whether this switch also includes changes in Raf-1/B-Raf heterodimerization and whether PKA regulates heterodimer formation.

Interestingly, *B-Raf* mutations (and less frequently activating MEK mutations) were also discovered in cardio-facio-cutaneous (CFC) syndrome (Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006), a hereditary disease hallmarked by mental retardation, congenital heart defects and abnormalities of facial structure and skin. Although the CFC mutations activate the kinase activity of B-Raf comparable to the oncogenic V600E mutation, CFC patients are not predisposed to cancer. These results and the results from Raf isoform knock-out mice (Galabova-Kovacs et al., 2006) raise a number of important questions pertaining to the regulation of B-Raf activation and signalling, including for instance whether B-Raf mutants are still regulated, and whether B-Raf can signal to different downstream targets depending on cell type or tissue-specific modifier proteins. The existence of suppressors of mutant B-Raf is suggested by the finding that >80% of benign naevi contain oncogenic B-Raf mutations without ever progressing to melanoma (Pollock et al., 2003). A candidate for such a suppressor is RKIP which was originally isolated as a physiological inhibitor of Raf-1 mediated MEK phosphorylation (Yeung et al., 1999). RKIP expression is reduced in melanoma cells with mutated B-Raf, and reconstitution of its expression to physiological levels suppressed the activity of the ERK pathway to normal levels and blocked cell invasion into matrigel (Schuierer *et al.*, 2004). There are probably multiple inhibitory mechanisms that must be circumvented including escape from senescence. High-level signalling by mutated B-Raf can induce senescence both in human melanocytes and in congenital naevi thus preventing the mutation to induce malignant progression (Michaloglou *et al.*, 2005).

Raf-1 mutations

In contrast to B-Raf, mutations in Raf-1 are very rare, and no A-Raf mutations were found. Four Raf-1 mutations were detected in 545 established cancer cell lines (Emuss et al., 2005), but is not entirely clear whether these are polymorphisms. Only one mutant had elevated kinase activity, but failed to transform cells. Interestingly, mutating the residue equivalent to B-Raf V600E also failed to produce a transforming Raf-1 protein unless a negative charge was introduced into the N-region. These data show that it takes two mutations to convert Raf-1 into a transforming protein by the same mechanism as B-Raf, and this may explain why B-Raf is the preferred target for mutation in cancer. However, truncation or even single-point mutations can confer transforming activity onto Raf-1 (Dhillon and Kolch, 2002; Wellbrock et al., 2004) indicating that there may be other reasons for the preference of B-Raf mutations in cancer. Two mutations in the Raf-1 kinase domain have been found in acute myeloid leukaemia (Zebisch et al., 2006). This disease features ERK activation in more than 50% of cases, but the frequency of Raf-1 mutations was less than 1/400. One mutation activated Raf-1 whereas the other did not, although both mutants could enhance survival and induce transformation in in vitro assays, indicating that the role of Raf-1 in cancer may not rely solely on its kinase activity, but also involve kinase independent functions. These non-catalytic Raf-1 functions include the counteraction of apoptosis by suppressing the proapoptotic kinases ASK-1 (Chen et al., 2001a) and MST2 (O'Neill and Kolch, 2004), and the membrane expression of Fas (Piazzolla et al., 2005), as well as the regulation of ROK α to stimulate cell migration (Ehrenreiter *et al.*, 2005).

MEK and ERK signalling

Activated Raf activates MEK1 and MEK2 by phosphorylating serines 218 and 222 in the activation loop. The three Raf isoforms differ in their abilities to activate MEK1 and MEK2; B-Raf is the strongest MEK kinase followed by Raf-1. A-Raf is a weak MEK activator and preferentially activates MEK1, whereas Raf-1 activates both MEK1/2 with equal efficiency (Wu *et al.*, 1996; Marais *et al.*, 1997). Raf-1 has two separate MEK-binding sites, with phosphorylation of sites in the N-region strongly enhancing MEK binding (Xiang *et al.*, 2002). The constitutive negative charge of this region in B-Raf and may explain the better binding and activation of MEK by B-Raf (Emuss *et al.*, 2005). In addition, the ability of Raf to efficiently activate MEK in cells is likely



Figure 3 Negative feedback loops in the ERK pathway. Activated ERK can inhibit Raf-1 by direct phosphorylation (Dougherty *et al.*, 2005). It also can interfere with the coupling of the Ras exchange factor SOS to receptors by inducing inhibitory phosphorylation directly or indirectly via p90^{*RSK2*} (Dong *et al.*, 1996; Douville and Downward, 1997). Activated ERK accumulates in the nucleus inducing the transcription of MKPs, which dephosphorylate ERK activation sites (Keyse, 2000), and Sprouty family proteins, which interfere with Ras and Raf activation (Mason *et al.*, 2006).

to be influenced by the presence of scaffolds such as KSR (Morrison and Davis, 2003). MEK is also phosphorylated at S298 by PAK1, an event that may facilitate its coupling to Raf (Frost *et al.*, 1997; Coles and Shaw, 2002). In addition, an inhibitory phosphorylation site on MEK, S212 was recently reported (Gopalbhai *et al.*, 2003).

Active ERKs phosphorylate numerous cytoplasmic and nuclear targets, including kinases, phosphatases, transcription factors and cytoskeletal proteins (Yoon and Seger, 2006). ERK signalling can, depending on the particular cell type, regulate processes such diverse as proliferation, differentiation, survival, migration, angiogenesis and chromatin remodelling (Dunn et al., 2005; Yoon and Seger, 2006). A key question is how ERK can perform these different roles with high specificity and reliability. In part at least, these properties may be linked to temporal differences in the strength and localization of ERK within the cell (Murphy and Blenis, 2006). Recent studies have shown that different expression levels and phosphorylation of early gene products induced by ERK signalling, such as Fos, Jun, Myc and Egr-1 may function as sensors for ERK signalling dynamics (Murphy et al., 2002, 2004). Sustained, but not transient ERK signalling, promotes phosphorylation and stabilization of such genes, thereby promoting cell-cycle entry. Sustained ERK signalling not only promotes to accumulation of genes required for cellcycle entry such as cyclin D1, it can also repress the expression of genes which inhibit proliferation (Yamamoto et al., 2006). In addition to temporal factors, the ERK signalling also influences cellular processes by varying signalling its signalling strength. High levels of ERK signalling can lead to cell-cycle arrest by inducing the expression of CDK-inhibitor protein such as p21 and p27 (Sewing et al., 1997; Woods et al., 1997; Mirza et al., 2004). To continue to proliferate, certain tumour cells utilize mechanisms, such as elevating Rho signalling or constitutively activating Akt, to counteract the ERK-mediated induction of these CDK inhibitor proteins (Olson *et al.*, 1998; Sahai *et al.*, 2001; Coleman *et al.*, 2004; Mirza *et al.*, 2004).

ERK signalling also plays a role in the disrupting the anti-proliferative effects of ligands such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF β). For example, activated N-Ras induces the cytoplasmic mislocalization of p27 via the Ral-GEF pathway, leading to the disruption of TGF β -mediated Smad nuclear translocation Accumulating evidence also suggest that the expression of different feedback inhibitors the ERK pathway is deregulated in cancer (Figure 3). These include MAP kinase phophatases (MKPs) and Sprouty family members (Miyoshi et al., 2004; Tsavachidou et al., 2004; Bloethner et al., 2005; Tsujita et al., 2005; Fong et al., 2006). Interestingly, ERK signalling is restrained even in transformed cells through multiple negative feedback loops. It seems that the balance between ERK activity and negative feedback is more important than the absolute level of ERK activation. This could be due to the need to avoid that high level ERK signalling induces cell-cycle arrest (Sewing et al., 1997; Woods et al., 1997; Mirza et al., 2004), but more provocatively also may indicate that some of these inhibitors actually may contribute to tumorigenesis.

The ERK pathway as a drug target

Because of its importance in cancer the ERK pathway has been a focus for drug discovery for almost 15 years with Ras, Raf and MEK as the main targets (Downward, 2003; Kohno and Pouyssegur, 2006). Currently, the most promising drug targeting Raf kinases is Sorafenib (BAY43-9006). In melanomas where B-Raf mutations are a major driver of tumorigenesis, 3283

3284

monotherapy with Sorafenib is well tolerated but has little or no antitumour activity (Eisen et al., 2006). However, Sorafenib is not specific for Raf kinases as there is growing evidence that a significant part of its antitumour activity is due to its effect on the plateletderived growth factor (PDGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 receptor (Wilhelm and Chien, 2002). This may explain why it is efficacious in renal cancer which is well vascularized and hallmarked by a dysregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and PDFG receptors (Gollob, 2005). A variation on this theme are heat-shock protein 90 (HSP90) inhibitors (Chiosis, 2006; Sharp and Workman, 2006). HSP90 is an obligatory chaperone for several signalling proteins including Raf kinase, Akt and EGF receptors. Disruption of binding to HSP90 leads to the degradation of these proteins. This is exploited by drugs like geldanamycin that are in clinical trials with results that support the validity of this approach (Sharp and Workman, 2006). The probably best explored strand is MEK inhibitors. CI-1040, the first MEK inhibitor to enter clinical trials, was well tolerated, but did not provide sufficient anti-tumour activity to be taken forward. Hopes are now on PD0325901, a secondgeneration MEK1/2 inhibitor, with improved pharmaceutical and pharmacological properties (Rinehart et al., 2004). The Raf and MEK inhibitors illustrate two polarized philosophies of drug discovery. Sorafenib is pluripotent inhibitor, whereas PD0325901 is highly selective. The question is which one to go for? Empirically most cancer drugs are used in combination therapies, and given the multiple aberrations found in cancer cells, it is pragmatic and seems an inherent advantage to hit several deviant pathways simultaneously with one drug. On the other hand, the conceptually more pleasing approach is to develop highly selective inhibitors that can be combined as required for the treatment of different cancers and individual patients. However, pluripotent inhibitors are difficult to design and develop purposefully as it is almost impossible to optimize several features in parallel. An even more formidable task may be to develop highly selective inhibitors and then figure out in which combinations to deploy them for maximum effect. We have no systematic rational framework in neither of these areas and hence it seems sensible to pursue both approaches.

Another interesting concept to generate specificity and minimize side effects is to target downstream effectors thereby ensuring that only certain functions are eliminated. With its multiple effectors, the ERK pathway provides a rich target area. It would go beyond the scope of this review to discuss details, but in addition to cell proliferation, other relevant potential targets downstream of ERK play key roles in angiogenesis, cell migration, invasion and metastasis (Reddy *et al.*, 2003; Giehl, 2005). One important mechanism whereby ERK signalling may promote a more malignant phenotype is by disrupting Rho signalling pathways (Sahai and Marshall, 2002). For example, ERK-mediated upregulation of the Fra-1, a component of the AP-1

transcription factor complex deregulates Rho signalling in colon carcinoma cells to promote cell motility (Vial et al., 2003; Pollock et al., 2005). ERK activity can also promote endothelial cell survival and blood vessel sprouting via the suppression of Rho-kinase signalling (Mavria et al., 2006). In addition to its effects on Rho signalling, ERK can phosphorylate a number of proteins involved in cell migration including MLCK, calpain, FAK and paxillin (Huang et al., 2004) as well as regulate the expression of proteases involved in basement membrane degradation (Reddy et al., 2003). In mouse models, oncogenic Ras expression has been linked to increased VEGF production, which promotes angiogenesis and contributes to subsequent tumour maintenance (Chin et al., 1999; Eves et al., 2006). Direct phosphorylation of HIF-1 α and Sp1 by ERK1/2 has been shown to induce transcription of VEGF, a key regulator of angiogenesis (Richard et al., 1999). Sustained activation of ERK pathway is also a necessary step in basic fibroblast growth factor-induced angiogenesis (Eliceiri et al., 1998).

Stress-activated MAPK pathways

Many MAPK pathways participate in stress signalling. In general, stress activated MAPKs cascades feature a large number of MAPKKKs probably reflecting that stress comes in many forms and unlike growth factors has few specific receptors. Thus, there seems to be a larger input network necessary for the sensing and processing of stress signals. Cancer cells are exposed to various stress conditions including hypoxia, detachment from substrate, inflammation and metabolic stress arising from dysregulation of energy production. Added to this are genotoxic and pharmacological stress during chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Thus, an important part for stress-activated kinases in cancer is emerging, mainly in modulatory roles that impinge on inflammation, DNA damage response and apoptosis. Generally, their effect is anti-proliferative and proapoptotic, but dependent on the cellular context they also may contribute to tumorigenesis.

The JNK pathway

The JNK family of MAP kinases are predominantly activated by cytokines, UV radiation, growth factor deprivation, DNA-damaging agents, certain G-protein coupled receptors and serum (Weston and Davis, 2002). The family is encoded by three genes – *Jnk1*, *Jnk2* and *Jnk3*. *Jnk 1* and 2 are ubiquitously expressed, whereas *Jnk3* expression is restricted to the brain, heart and testis. Alternative splicing of these genes creates a total of 10 JNK isoforms. JNK activation requires dual phosphorylation on tyrosine and threonine residues at a distinctive TPY motif, a reaction is catalysed by MEK4 and MEK7. MEK4 and MEK7 are themselves phosphorylated and activated by several MAPKKKs, including MEKK1–4, MLL2 and 3, YTpl-2, DLK, TAO1 and 2, TAK1 and ASK1 and 2. Like p38, JNK

are translocate relocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus following activation. A major substrate for JNK is the transcription factor c-Jun, which when phosphorylated at serines 63 and 73, results in the enhancement of AP-1 transcriptional activity (Adler *et al.*, 1992). JNKs can also phosphorylate several other transcription factors, including ATF-2, NF-ATc1, HSF-1 and STAT3 (Ip and Davis, 1998). The localization of active JNK is not restricted to the nucleus but relatively little is known about the nature of cytoplasmic JNK substrates.

In response to stresses such as UVB radiation, oxidative stress and DNA-damage, JNK binds to and phosphorylates p53 (Wu, 2004). Depending on the site phosphorylated, this can result in an increase in p53 transcriptional activity and p53 stabilization (Buschmann *et al.*, 2000, 2001; She *et al.*, 2002; Cheng *et al.*, 2003). JNK has also been reported to regulate p53 stability in the absence of stress by a MDM2-dependent mechanism (Fuchs *et al.*, 1998).

JNK activity and phosphorylation of c-Jun has been reported to play a critical role in Ras-induced tumorigenesis and Ras and c-Jun cooperate in cellular transformation (Smeal et al., 1991; Kennedy and Davis, 2003). Ras induces phosphorylation of c-Jun on the same sites as JNK and c-Jun-deficient fibroblasts are resistant to Ras-induced transformation (Schutte et al., 1989; Derijard et al., 1994; Johnson et al., 1996). One important function of c-Jun appears to be the transcriptional repression of the p53 gene (Schreiber et al., 1999; Eferl et al., 2003). In contrast to these findings, studies on JNK1/2-null cells have shown that JNK is not required for Ras-induced transformation and tumorigenesis in vivo. Instead JNK may have a tumour suppressive function that is linked to its ability to promote apoptosis (Kennedy et al., 2003). JNK inhibitors have been considered for cancer therapy because of their ability to interfere with DNA repair in response to genotoxic drugs (Vasilevskaya and O'Dwyer, 2003). However, as these inhibitors also may prevent apoptosis, their usefulness is unclear.

In contrast to JNK signalling, activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) signalling can lead to the suppression of apoptosis (Bubici et al., 2004). In cancer, JNK and NF- κ B signalling often play opposing roles, with JNK activation being tumour suppressive whereas activation of NF-kB can prevent oncogene-induced apoptosis (Orlowski and Baldwin, 2002; Franzoso et al., 2003; Kennedy and Davis, 2003; Kucharczak et al., 2003). In response to tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), the anti-apoptotic effect of NF- κ B has been shown to be mediated by the induction of genes that can repress JNK activity (Javelaud and Besancon, 2001; Tang et al., 2002). Oncogenes such as Ras are potent inducers of sustained JNK activation and activation of NF- κ B may be required to suppress JNK-induced apoptosis during tumorigenesis (Davis, 2000; Bubici et al., 2004). Thus, inhibition of NF- κ B activity may be a useful avenue to promote apoptosis in such cells via a JNK-dependent mechanism.

The p38 pathway

In mammals, p38 isoforms are strongly activated by environmental stresses and inflammatory cytokines. p38 is required for expression of TNF α and interleukin-1 during inflammatory responses and most stimuli that activate p38 also induce expression of the p38 protein (Zarubin and Han, 2005). Characterization of the function of p38 has been facilitated by the antiinflammatory drug SB203580, an inhibitor of p38 (Kyriakis and Avruch, 2001).

The four vertebrate isoforms of p38, α , β and γ (ERK6) and δ are characterized by the presence of the conserved Thr-Gly-Tyr (TGY) phosphorylation motif in their activation loop (Kumar et al., 2003). This motif is phosphorylated by MEK3 and MEK6, which themselves are activated by various MAPKKKs that are induced by physical and chemical stresses, such as oxidative stress, hypoxia, X-ray and UV irradiation and cytokines. In some instances p38 can also be activated by MEK4, a kinase that is better known as an activator of JNK. Once active, p38 proteins can translocate to from the cytosol to the nucleus where they phosphorylate serine/threonine residues of their many substrates. In addition to its role in stress responses, the p38 pathway also plays a role in the regulation of apoptosis, cell cycle progression, growth and differentiation. This is due, in part, to the ability of a broad range of extracellular stimuli such growth factors (such as GM-CSFD, fibroblast growth factor, insulin-like growth factor 1, PDGF and nerve growth factor) and hormones that activate this pathway. Such stimuli feed into this pathway by activating different MAPKKKs, including TAK1, ASK1/2, DLK, MEKK4, TAO1/2/3 and MLK2/3 (Zarubin and Han, 2005; Krens et al., 2006).

Analysis of the phenotype of mice disrupted in both the MEK3 and MEK6 genes or the p38α gene has led to the suggestion that p38 can function as a tumour suppressor. The transforming potential of oncogenes is increased in fibroblasts from these animals as well as their tumorigenic potential in nude mice (Bulavin et al., 2002; Brancho et al., 2003; Bulavin and Fornace, 2004; Timofeev et al., 2005). Suppression of p38 function also plays a critical role in Ras-induced transformation (Ellinger-Ziegelbauer et al., 1999; Pruitt et al., 2002). The tumour suppressive effects of p38 appear to be mediated in several different ways. p38 is involved in both the activation of p53 and in p53-induced apoptosis and acts as negative regulator of cell cycle progression (Kummer et al., 1997; She et al., 2001; Bulavin and Fornace, 2004; Bradham and McClay, 2006). p38 is also activated by oncogenic stresses and plays a role in Ras-induced senescence in mouse embryo fibroblasts (Molnar et al., 1997; Bulavin et al., 2003). Such findings suggest a decrease in p38 activity plays an important role in cancer. In support of this notion, p38 activity has been shown to be reduced in hepatocellular carcinomas in comparison to adjacent normal tissue, with tumour size inversely related to p38 activity (Iyoda et al., 2003).

Many chemotherapeutic agents require p38 activity for the induction of apoptosis (Olson and Hallahan, 2004; Bradham and McClay, 2006). Inhibition of p38 activity has been reported to enhance apoptosis in response to DNA-damaging agents such as doxorubicin and cisplatinin as well as microtubule-disrupting agents such as taxol, vicristine and vinblastine (Deacon *et al.*, 2003; Losa *et al.*, 2003; Lee *et al.*, 2006).

MEK4/MKK4

MEK4/MKK4 is a MAPKK for both JNK and p38. It is consistently inactivated by mutation in many cancers including cancers of the pancreas, bile ducts, breast, colon, lung and testis, however at a low frequency around 5% (Cunningham et al., 2006). In serous ovarian cancer MEK4 expression was downregulated in 75% of cases (Nakayama et al., 2006). There is also evidence that MEK4 suppresses metastasis based on its downregulation in prostate and ovarian cancers with a high risk of metastasis (Kim et al., 2001; Yamada et al., 2002). The mechanism how MEK4 antagonizes tumorigenesis and metastasis is currently unknown. Experiments where highly metastatic AT6.1 prostate cancer cells were subjected to individual stresses and tested for colony formation showed that MEK4 expression only had a clear inhibitory effect when at least three stress factors, deprivation of anchorage, growth factor starvation and low pH were combined (Robinson et al., 2003). Surprisingly, human cancer cells where the MEK4 gene was knocked out proliferated similar to their parental cells counterparts in vitro, but produced fewer metastases when inoculated into mice (Cunningham et al., 2006). This is contrary to what one would expect from tumour suppressor gene. However, taken together these results suggest that stress pathways actually may be

References

- Adler V, Franklin CC, Kraft AS. (1992). Phorbol esters stimulate the phosphorylation of c-Jun but not v-Jun: regulation by the N-terminal delta domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **89**: 5341–5345.
- Beeram M, Patnaik A, Rowinsky EK. (2003). Regulation of c-Raf-1: therapeutic implications. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 1: 476–481.
- Bloethner S, Chen B, Hemminki K, Muller-Berghaus J, Ugurel S, Schadendorf D *et al.* (2005). Effect of common B-RAF and N-RAS mutations on global gene expression in melanoma cell lines. *Carcinogenesis* **26**: 1224–1232.
- Bradham C, McClay DR. (2006). p38 MAPK in development and cancer. *Cell Cycle* **5**: 824–828.
- Brancho D, Tanaka N, Jaeschke A, Ventura JJ, Kelkar N, Tanaka Y *et al.* (2003). Mechanism of p38 MAP kinase activation *in vivo. Genes Dev* **17**: 1969–1978.
- Bubici C, Papa S, Pham CG, Zazzeroni F, Franzoso G. (2004). NF-kappaB and JNK: an intricate affair. *Cell Cycle* **3**: 1524–1529.
- Bulavin DV, Demidov ON, Saito S, Kauraniemi P, Phillips C, Amundson SA *et al.* (2002). Amplification of PPM1D in human tumors abrogates p53 tumor-suppressor activity. *Nat Genet* **31**: 210–215.
- Bulavin DV, Fornace Jr AJ. (2004). p38 MAP kinase's emerging role as a tumor suppressor. *Adv Cancer Res* 92: 95–118.

blind arbitrators that can support tumorigenesis by protecting cells against stress connected with malignant transformation, but also can initiate apoptosis if stress levels exceed a threshold and then act as tumour suppressors.

Outlook

The role of MAPKs in cancer is as pleiotropic as cancer itself. Often we are presented with contradictory findings, which we cannot explain. However, on occasions where such discrepancies could be resolved it usually turned out that they were two sides of the same coin. Most mechanisms can protect or harm depending on the context and strength of activation. The immune system is a prime example. Although our survival is dependent on the ability to vigorously respond to infections with pathogens, similar mechanisms cause allergies or deleterious autoimmune diseases. As much as cancer can be perceived as a disease resulting from faulty inter- and intracellular communications, it also may be perceived as a disease using unusual forms of communication or communication in an unusual form. In either case there should be underlying rules, which we have yet learn to decipher in order to talk cancer cells into resigning.

Acknowledgements

We thank Cancer Research UK and the European Union FP6 Project 'Computational Systems Biology of Cell Signalling' (LSHG-CT-2004-512060) for support.

- Bulavin DV, Kovalsky O, Hollander MC, Fornace Jr AJ. (2003). Loss of oncogenic H-ras-induced cell cycle arrest and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation by disruption of Gadd45a. *Mol Cell Biol* 23: 3859–3871.
- Buschmann T, Potapova O, Bar-Shira A, Ivanov VN, Fuchs SY, Henderson S *et al.* (2001). Jun NH2-terminal kinase phosphorylation of p53 on Thr-81 is important for p53 stabilization and transcriptional activities in response to stress. *Mol Cell Biol* **21**: 2743–2754.
- Buschmann T, Yin Z, Bhoumik A, Ronai Z. (2000). Aminoterminal-derived JNK fragment alters expression and activity of c-Jun, ATF2, and p53 and increases H2O2induced cell death. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 16590–16596.
- Chen J, Fujii K, Zhang L, Roberts T, Fu H. (2001a). Raf-1 promotes cell survival by antagonizing apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 through a MEK-ERK independent mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**: 7783–7788.
- Chen Z, Gibson TB, Robinson F, Silvestro L, Pearson G, Xu B et al. (2001b). MAP kinases. Chem Rev 101: 2449–2476.
- Cheng WH, Zheng X, Quimby FR, Roneker CA, Lei XG. (2003). Low levels of glutathione peroxidase 1 activity in selenium-deficient mouse liver affect c-Jun N-terminal kinase activation and p53 phosphorylation on Ser-15 in pro-oxidant-induced aponecrosis. *Biochem J* **370**: 927–934.

- Chin L, Tam A, Pomerantz J, Wong M, Holash J, Bardeesy N et al. (1999). Essential role for oncogenic Ras in tumour maintenance. *Nature* **400**: 468–472.
- Chiosis G. (2006). Targeting chaperones in transformed systems–a focus on Hsp90 and cancer. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* **10**: 37–50.
- Chong H, Vikis HG, Guan KL. (2003). Mechanisms of regulating the Raf kinase family. *Cell Signal* **15**: 463–469.
- Coleman ML, Marshall CJ, Olson MF. (2004). RAS and RHO GTPases in G1-phase cell-cycle regulation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **5**: 355–366.
- Coles LC, Shaw PE. (2002). PAK1 primes MEK1 for phosphorylation by Raf-1 kinase during cross-cascade activation of the ERK pathway. *Oncogene* **21**: 2236–2244.
- Cunningham SC, Gallmeier E, Hucl T, Dezentje DA, Calhoun ES, Falco G *et al.* (2006). Targeted deletion of MKK4 in cancer cells: a detrimental phenotype manifests as decreased experimental metastasis and suggests a counterweight to the evolution of tumor-suppressor loss. *Cancer Res* **66**: 5560–5564.
- Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S *et al.* (2002). Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* **417**: 949–954.
- Davis RJ. (2000). Signal transduction by the JNK group of MAP kinases. *Cell* **103**: 239–252.
- Deacon K, Mistry P, Chernoff J, Blank JL, Patel R. (2003). p38 Mitogen-activated protein kinase mediates cell death and p21-activated kinase mediates cell survival during chemotherapeutic drug-induced mitotic arrest. *Mol Biol Cell* 14: 2071–2087.
- Derijard B, Hibi M, Wu IH, Barrett T, Su B, Deng T *et al.* (1994). JNK1: a protein kinase stimulated by UV light and Ha-Ras that binds and phosphorylates the c-Jun activation domain. *Cell* **76**: 1025–1037.
- Dhillon AS, Kolch W. (2002). Untying the regulation of the Raf-1 kinase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **404**: 3–9.
- Dong C, Waters SB, Holt KH, Pessin JE. (1996). SOS phosphorylation and disassociation of the Grb2-SOS complex by the ERK and JNK signaling pathways. *J Biol Chem* **271**: 6328–6332.
- Dougherty MK, Muller J, Ritt DA, Zhou M, Zhou XZ, Copeland TD *et al.* (2005). Regulation of Raf-1 by direct feedback phosphorylation. *Mol Cell* **17**: 215–224.
- Douville E, Downward J. (1997). EGF induced SOS phosphorylation in PC12 cells involves P90 RSK-2. Oncogene 15: 373–383.
- Downward J. (2003). Targeting RAS signalling pathways in cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* **3**: 11–22.
- Du W, Prendergast GC. (1999). Geranylgeranylated RhoB mediates suppression of human tumor cell growth by farnesyltransferase inhibitors. *Cancer Res* **59**: 5492–5496.
- Dumaz N, Hayward R, Martin J, Ogilvie L, Hedley D, Curtin JA *et al.* (2006). In melanoma, RAS mutations are accompanied by switching signaling from BRAF to CRAF and disrupted cyclic AMP signaling. *Cancer Res* **66**: 9483–9491.
- Dunn KL, Espino PS, Drobic B, He S, Davie JR. (2005). The Ras-MAPK signal transduction pathway, cancer and chromatin remodeling. *Biochem Cell Biol* **83**: 1–14.
- Eferl R, Ricci R, Kenner L, Zenz R, David JP, Rath M *et al.* (2003). Liver tumor development. c-Jun antagonizes the proapoptotic activity of p53. *Cell* **112**: 181–192.
- Ehrenreiter K, Piazzolla D, Velamoor V, Sobczak I, Small JV, Takeda J et al. (2005). Raf-1 regulates Rho signaling and cell migration. J Cell Biol 168: 955–964.
- Eisen T, Ahmad T, Flaherty KT, Gore M, Kaye S, Marais R *et al.* (2006). Sorafenib in advanced melanoma: a phase II

randomised discontinuation trial analysis. Br J Cancer 95: 581–586.

- Eliceiri BP, Klemke R, Stromblad S, Cheresh DA. (1998). Integrin alphavbeta3 requirement for sustained mitogenactivated protein kinase activity during angiogenesis. *J Cell Biol* 140: 1255–1263.
- Ellinger-Ziegelbauer H, Kelly K, Siebenlist U. (1999). Cell cycle arrest and reversion of Ras-induced transformation by a conditionally activated form of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**: 3857–3868.
- Emuss V, Garnett M, Mason C, Marais R. (2005). Mutations of C-RAF are rare in human cancer because C-RAF has a low basal kinase activity compared with B-RAF. *Cancer Res* **65**: 9719–9726.
- Eves EM, Shapiro P, Naik K, Klein UR, Trakul N, Rosner MR. (2006). Raf kinase inhibitory protein regulates aurora B kinase and the spindle checkpoint. *Mol Cell* 23: 561–574.
- Fong CW, Chua MS, McKie AB, Ling SH, Mason V, Li R *et al.* (2006). Sprouty 2, an inhibitor of mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling, is down-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **66**: 2048–2058.
- Franzoso G, Zazzeroni F, Papa S. (2003). JNK: a killer on a transcriptional leash. *Cell Death Differ* 10: 13–15.
- Frost JA, Steen H, Shapiro P, Lewis T, Ahn N, Shaw PE *et al.* (1997). Cross-cascade activation of ERKs and ternary complex factors by Rho family proteins. *EMBO J* 16: 6426–6438.
- Fuchs SY, Adler V, Buschmann T, Yin Z, Wu X, Jones SN et al. (1998). JNK targets p53 ubiquitination and degradation in non-stressed cells. *Genes Dev* 12: 2658–2663.
- Galabova-Kovacs G, Kolbus A, Matzen D, Meissl K, Piazzolla D, Rubiolo C *et al.* (2006). ERK and beyond: insights from B-Raf and Raf-1 conditional knockouts. *Cell Cycle* 5: 1514–1518.
- Garnett MJ, Marais R. (2004). Guilty as charged: B-RAF is a human oncogene. *Cancer Cell* 6: 313–319.
- Garnett MJ, Rana S, Paterson H, Barford D, Marais R. (2005). Wild-type and mutant B-RAF activate C-RAF through distinct mechanisms involving heterodimerization. *Mol Cell* **20**: 963–969.
- Giancotti FG, Ruoslahti E. (1999). Integrin signaling. *Science* **285**: 1028–1032.
- Giehl K. (2005). Oncogenic Ras in tumour progression and metastasis. *Biol Chem* **386**: 193–205.
- Gollob JA. (2005). Sorafenib: scientific rationales for singleagent and combination therapy in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* **4**: 167–174.
- Gopalbhai K, Jansen G, Beauregard G, Whiteway M, Dumas F, Wu C *et al.* (2003). Negative regulation of MAPKK by phosphorylation of a conserved serine residue equivalent to Ser212 of MEK1. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 8118–8125.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. (2000). The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* **100**: 57–70.
- Hancock JF. (2003). Ras proteins: different signals from different locations. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **4**: 373–384.
- Hancock JF, Parton RG. (2005). Ras plasma membrane signalling platforms. *Biochem J* **389**: 1–11.
- Huang C, Jacobson K, Schaller MD. (2004). MAP kinases and cell migration. J Cell Sci 117: 4619–4628.
- Ip YT, Davis RJ. (1998). Signal transduction by the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) – from inflammation to development. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 10: 205–219.
- Iyoda K, Sasaki Y, Horimoto M, Toyama T, Yakushijin T, Sakakibara M et al. (2003). Involvement of the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer* 97: 3017–3026.

- Javelaud D, Besancon F. (2001). NF-kappa B activation results in rapid inactivation of JNK in TNF alpha-treated Ewing sarcoma cells: a mechanism for the anti-apoptotic effect of NF-kappa B. *Oncogene* **20**: 4365–4372.
- Johnson R, Spiegelman B, Hanahan D, Wisdom R. (1996). Cellular transformation and malignancy induced by ras require c-jun. *Mol Cell Biol* 16: 4504–4511.
- Kennedy NJ, Davis RJ. (2003). Role of JNK in tumor development. *Cell Cycle* **2**: 199–201.
- Kennedy NJ, Sluss HK, Jones SN, Bar-Sagi D, Flavell RA, Davis RJ. (2003). Suppression of Ras-stimulated transformation by the JNK signal transduction pathway. *Genes Dev* 17: 629–637.
- Keyse SM. (2000). Protein phosphatases and the regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase signalling. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 12: 186–192.
- Kim HL, Vander Griend DJ, Yang X, Benson DA, Dubauskas Z, Yoshida BA *et al.* (2001). Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4 metastasis suppressor gene expression is inversely related to histological pattern in advancing human prostatic cancers. *Cancer Res* **61**: 2833–2837.
- Kohno M, Pouyssegur J. (2006). Targeting the ERK signaling pathway in cancer therapy. *Ann Med* **38**: 200–211.
- Kolch W. (2005). Coordinating ERK/MAPK signalling through scaffolds and inhibitors. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 6: 827–837.
- Krens SF, Spaink HP, Snaar-Jagalska BE. (2006). Functions of the MAPK family in vertebrate-development. *FEBS Lett* **580**: 4984–4990.
- Kucharczak J, Simmons MJ, Fan Y, Gelinas C. (2003). To be, or not to be: NF-kappa B is the answer – role of Rel/NFkappa B in the regulation of apoptosis. *Oncogene* 22: 8961–8982.
- Kumar S, Boehm J, Lee JC. (2003). p38 MAP kinases: key signalling molecules as therapeutic targets for inflammatory diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **2**: 717–726.
- Kummer JL, Rao PK, Heidenreich KA. (1997). Apoptosis induced by withdrawal of trophic factors is mediated by p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. *J Biol Chem* **272**: 20490–20494.
- Kyriakis JM, Avruch J. (2001). Mammalian mitogen-activated protein kinase signal transduction pathways activated by stress and inflammation. *Physiol Rev* **81**: 807–869.
- Landi MT, Bauer J, Pfeiffer RM, Elder DE, Hulley B, Minghetti P *et al.* (2006). MC1R germline variants confer risk for BRAF-mutant melanoma. *Science* **313**: 521–522.
- Lee ER, Kim JY, Kang YJ, Ahn JY, Kim JH, Kim BW *et al.* (2006). Interplay between PI3K/Akt and MAPK signaling pathways in DNA-damaging drug-induced apoptosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1763**: 958–968.
- Li W, Han M, Guan KL. (2000). The leucine-rich repeat protein SUR-8 enhances MAP kinase activation and forms a complex with Ras and Raf. *Genes Dev* 14: 895–900.
- Losa JH, Parada Cobo C, Viniegra JG, Sanchez-Arevalo Lobo VJ, Ramon y Cajal S, Sanchez-Prieto R. (2003). Role of the p38 MAPK pathway in cisplatin-based therapy. *Oncogene* **22**: 3998–4006.
- Maldonado JL, Fridlyand J, Patel H, Jain AN, Busam K, Kageshita T *et al.* (2003). Determinants of BRAF mutations in primary melanomas. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **95**: 1878–1890.
- Marais R, Light Y, Mason C, Paterson H, Olson MF, Marshall CJ. (1998). Requirement of Ras-GTP-Raf complexes for activation of Raf-1 by protein kinase C. Science 280: 109–112.
- Marais R, Light Y, Paterson HF, Mason CS, Marshall CJ. (1997). Differential regulation of Raf-1, A-Raf, and B-Raf

by oncogenic ras and tyrosine kinases. J Biol Chem 272: 4378–4383.

- Mavria G, Vercoulen Y, Yeo M, Paterson H, Karasarides M, Marais R *et al.* (2006). ERK-MAPK signaling opposes Rhokinase to promote endothelial cell survival and sprouting during angiogenesis. *Cancer Cell* **9**: 33–44.
- Mason JM, Morrison DJ, Basson MA, Licht JD. (2006). Sprouty proteins: multifaceted negative-feedback regulators of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *Trends Cell Biol* 16: 45–54.
- Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LC, Soengas MS, Denoyelle C, Kuilman T, van der Horst CM *et al.* (2005). BRAFE600associated senescence-like cell cycle arrest of human naevi. *Nature* **436**: 720–724.
- Mirza AM, Gysin S, Malek N, Nakayama K, Roberts JM, McMahon M. (2004). Cooperative regulation of the cell division cycle by the protein kinases RAF and AKT. *Mol Cell Biol* 24: 10868–10881.
- Miyoshi K, Wakioka T, Nishinakamura H, Kamio M, Yang L, Inoue M *et al.* (2004). The Sprouty-related protein, Spred, inhibits cell motility, metastasis, and Rho-mediated actin reorganization. *Oncogene* 23: 5567–5576.
- Molnar A, Theodoras AM, Zon LI, Kyriakis JM. (1997). Cdc42Hs, but not Rac1, inhibits serum-stimulated cell cycle progression at G1/S through a mechanism requiring p38/ RK. *J Biol Chem* **272**: 13229–13235.
- Mor A, Philips MR. (2006). Compartmentalized Ras/MAPK signaling. *Annu Rev Immunol* 24: 771–800.
- Morrison DK, Davis RJ. (2003). Regulation of MAP kinase signaling modules by scaffold proteins in mammals. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **19**: 91–118.
- Murphy LO, Blenis J. (2006). MAPK signal specificity: the right place at the right time. *Trends Biochem Sci* **31**: 268–275.
- Murphy LO, MacKeigan JP, Blenis J. (2004). A network of immediate early gene products propagates subtle differences in mitogen-activated protein kinase signal amplitude and duration. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 144–153.
- Murphy LO, Smith S, Chen RH, Fingar DC, Blenis J. (2002). Molecular interpretation of ERK signal duration by immediate early gene products. *Nat Cell Biol* **4**: 556–564.
- Nagasaka T, Sasamoto H, Notohara K, Cullings HM, Takeda M, Kimura K *et al.* (2004). Colorectal cancer with mutation in BRAF, KRAS, and wild-type with respect to both oncogenes showing different patterns of DNA methylation. *J Clin Oncol* **22**: 4584–4594.
- Nakayama K, Nakayama N, Davidson B, Katabuchi H, Kurman RJ, Velculescu VE *et al.* (2006). Homozygous deletion of MKK4 in ovarian serous carcinoma. *Cancer Biol Ther* **5**: 630–634.
- Nguyen A, Burack WR, Stock JL, Kortum R, Chaika OV, Afkarian M *et al.* (2002). Kinase suppressor of Ras (KSR) is a scaffold which facilitates mitogen-activated protein kinase activation *in vivo. Mol Cell Biol* **22**: 3035–3045.
- O'Neill E, Kolch W. (2004). Conferring specificity on the ubiquitous Raf/MEK signalling pathway. *Br J Cancer* **90**: 283–288.
- Olson JM, Hallahan AR. (2004). p38 MAP kinase: a convergence point in cancer therapy. *Trends Mol Med* **10**: 125–129.
- Olson MF, Paterson HF, Marshall CJ. (1998). Signals from Ras and Rho GTPases interact to regulate expression of p21Waf1/Cip1. *Nature* **394**: 295–299.
- Orlowski RZ, Baldwin Jr AS. (2002). NF-kappaB as a therapeutic target in cancer. *Trends Mol Med* 8: 385–389.

3288

- Orton RJ, Sturm OE, Vyshemirsky V, Calder M, Gilbert DR, Kolch W. (2005). Computational modelling of the receptortyrosine-kinase-activated MAPK pathway. *Biochem J* **392**: 249–261.
- Petti C, Molla A, Vegetti C, Ferrone S, Anichini A, Sensi M. (2006). Coexpression of NRASQ61R and BRAFV600E in human melanoma cells activates senescence and increases susceptibility to cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *Cancer Res* 66: 6503–6511.
- Philips MR. (2005). Compartmentalized signalling of Ras. *Biochem Soc Trans* 33: 657–661.
- Piazzolla D, Meissl K, Kucerova L, Rubiolo C, Baccarini M. (2005). Raf-1 sets the threshold of Fas sensitivity by modulating Rok-alpha signaling. J Cell Biol 171: 1013–1022.
- Pollock CB, Shirasawa S, Sasazuki T, Kolch W, Dhillon AS. (2005). Oncogenic K-RAS is required to maintain changes in cytoskeletal organization, adhesion, and motility in colon cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 65: 1244–1250.
- Pollock PM, Harper UL, Hansen KS, Yudt LM, Stark M, Robbins CM *et al.* (2003). High frequency of BRAF mutations in nevi. *Nat Genet* **33**: 19–20.
- Pruitt K, Pruitt WM, Bilter GK, Westwick JK, Der CJ. (2002). Raf-independent deregulation of p38 and JNK mitogenactivated protein kinases are critical for Ras transformation. *J Biol Chem* 277: 31808–31817.
- Reddy KB, Nabha SM, Atanaskova N. (2003). Role of MAP kinase in tumor progression and invasion. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 22: 395–403.
- Richard DE, Berra E, Gothie E, Roux D, Pouyssegur J. (1999). p42/p44 mitogen-activated protein kinases phosphorylate hypoxia-inducible factor lalpha (HIF-lalpha) and enhance the transcriptional activity of HIF-1. *J Biol Chem* **274**: 32631–32637.
- Rinehart J, Adjei AA, Lorusso PM, Waterhouse D, Hecht JR, Natale RB *et al.* (2004). Multicenter phase II study of the oral MEK inhibitor, CI-1040, in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung, breast, colon, and pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **22**: 4456–4462.
- Robinson VL, Hickson JA, Vander Griend DJ, Dubauskas Z, Rinker-Schaeffer CW. (2003). MKK4 and metastasis suppression: a marriage of signal transduction and metastasis research. *Clin Exp Metastasis* **20**: 25–30.
- Rodriguez-Viciana P, Tetsu O, Tidyman WE, Estep AL, Conger BA, Cruz MS *et al.* (2006). Germline mutations in genes within the MAPK pathway cause cardio-faciocutaneous syndrome. *Science* **311**: 1287–1290.
- Rushworth LK, Hindley AD, O'Neill E, Kolch W. (2006). Regulation and role of Raf-1/B-Raf heterodimerization. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**: 2262–2272.
- Sahai E, Marshall CJ. (2002). RHO-GTPases and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **2**: 133–142.
- Sahai E, Olson MF, Marshall CJ. (2001). Cross-talk between Ras and Rho signalling pathways in transformation favours proliferation and increased motility. *EMBO J* 20: 755–766.
- Samowitz WS, Sweeney C, Herrick J, Albertsen H, Levin TR, Murtaugh MA *et al.* (2005). Poor survival associated with the BRAF V600E mutation in microsatellite-stable colon cancers. *Cancer Res* **65**: 6063–6069.
- Schaeffer HJ, Weber MJ. (1999). Mitogen-activated protein kinases: specific messages from ubiquitous messengers. *Mol Cell Biol* 19: 2435–2444.
- Schreiber M, Kolbus A, Piu F, Szabowski A, Mohle-Steinlein U, Tian J *et al.* (1999). Control of cell cycle progression by c-Jun is p53 dependent. *Genes Dev* **13**: 607–619.
- Schuierer MM, Bataille F, Hagan S, Kolch W, Bosserhoff AK. (2004). Reduction in Raf kinase inhibitor protein expression

is associated with increased Ras-extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling in melanoma cell lines. *Cancer Res* **64**: 5186–5192.

- Schutte J, Minna JD, Birrer MJ. (1989). Deregulated expression of human c-jun transforms primary rat embryo cells in cooperation with an activated c-Ha-ras gene and transforms rat-1a cells as a single gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 86: 2257–2261.
- Sebolt-Leopold JS, Herrera R. (2004). Targeting the mitogenactivated protein kinase cascade to treat cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **4**: 937–947.
- Sewing A, Wiseman B, Lloyd AC, Land H. (1997). Highintensity Raf signal causes cell cycle arrest mediated by p21Cip1. *Mol Cell Biol* **17**: 5588–5597.
- Sharp S, Workman P. (2006). Inhibitors of the HSP90 molecular chaperone: current status. *Adv Cancer Res* **95**: 323–348.
- She QB, Bode AM, Ma WY, Chen NY, Dong Z. (2001). Resveratrol-induced activation of p53 and apoptosis is mediated by extracellular-signal-regulated protein kinases and p38 kinase. *Cancer Res* **61**: 1604–1610.
- She QB, Ma WY, Dong Z. (2002). Role of MAP kinases in UVB-induced phosphorylation of p53 at serine 20. *Onco- gene* **21**: 1580–1589.
- Smeal T, Binetruy B, Mercola DA, Birrer M, Karin M. (1991). Oncogenic and transcriptional cooperation with Ha-Ras requires phosphorylation of c-Jun on serines 63 and 73. *Nature* 354: 494–496.
- Solit DB, Garraway LA, Pratilas CA, Sawai A, Getz G, Basso A *et al.* (2006). BRAF mutation predicts sensitivity to MEK inhibition. *Nature* **439**: 358–362.
- Spendlove HE, Damato BE, Humphreys J, Barker KT, Hiscott PS, Houlston RS. (2004). BRAF mutations are detectable in conjunctival but not uveal melanomas. *Melanoma Res* 14: 449–452.
- Tang F, Tang G, Xiang J, Dai Q, Rosner MR, Lin A. (2002). The absence of NF-kappaB-mediated inhibition of c-Jun N-terminal kinase activation contributes to tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biol* 22: 8571–8579.
- Therrien M, Michaud NR, Rubin GM, Morrison DK. (1996). KSR modulates signal propagation within the MAPK cascade. *Genes Dev* **10**: 2684–2695.
- Timofeev O, Lee TY, Bulavin DV. (2005). A subtle change in p38 MAPK activity is sufficient to suppress *in vivo* tumorigenesis. *Cell Cycle* **4**: 118–120.
- To MD, Perez-Losada J, Mao JH, Hsu J, Jacks T, Balmain A. (2006). A functional switch from lung cancer resistance to susceptibility at the Pas1 locus in Kras2LA2 mice. *Nat Genet* 38: 926–930.
- Torii S, Yamamoto T, Tsuchiya Y, Nishida E. (2006). ERK MAP kinase in G cell cycle progression and cancer. *Cancer Sci* **97**: 697–702.
- Tsavachidou D, Coleman ML, Athanasiadis G, Li S, Licht JD, Olson MF *et al.* (2004). SPRY2 is an inhibitor of the ras/extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway in melanocytes and melanoma cells with wild-type BRAF but not with the V599E mutant. *Cancer Res* 64: 5556–5559.
- Tsujita E, Taketomi A, Gion T, Kuroda Y, Endo K, Watanabe A *et al.* (2005). Suppressed MKP-1 is an independent predictor of outcome in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncology* **69**: 342–347.
- Vasilevskaya I, O'Dwyer PJ. (2003). Role of Jun and Jun kinase in resistance of cancer cells to therapy. *Drug Resist Updat* 6: 147–156.

- Vial E, Sahai E, Marshall CJ. (2003). ERK-MAPK signaling coordinately regulates activity of Rac1 and RhoA for tumor cell motility. *Cancer Cell* **4**: 67–79.
- Wan PT, Garnett MJ, Roe SM, Lee S, Niculescu-Duvaz D, Good VM *et al.* (2004). Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of B-RAF. *Cell* **116**: 855–867.
- Wellbrock C, Karasarides M, Marais R. (2004). The RAF proteins take centre stage. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5: 875–885.
- Weston CR, Davis RJ. (2002). The JNK signal transduction pathway. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **12**: 14–21.
- Wilhelm S, Chien DS. (2002). BAY 43-9006: preclinical data. *Curr Pharm Des* 8: 2255–2257.
- Woods D, Parry D, Cherwinski H, Bosch E, Lees E, McMahon M. (1997). Raf-induced proliferation or cell cycle arrest is determined by the level of Raf activity with arrest mediated by p21Cip1. *Mol Cell Biol* **17**: 5598–5611.
- Wu GS. (2004). The functional interactions between the p53 and MAPK signaling pathways. *Cancer Biol Ther* **3**: 156–161.
- Wu X, Noh SJ, Zhou G, Dixon JE, Guan KL. (1996). Selective activation of MEK1 but not MEK2 by A-Raf from epidermal growth factor-stimulated Hela cells. *J Biol Chem* 271: 3265–3271.
- Xiang X, Zang M, Waelde CA, Wen R, Luo Z. (2002). Phosphorylation of 338SSYY341 regulates specific interac-

tion between Raf-1 and MEK1. J Biol Chem 277: 44996-45003.

- Yamada SD, Hickson JA, Hrobowski Y, Vander Griend DJ, Benson D, Montag A *et al.* (2002). Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4 (MKK4) acts as a metastasis suppressor gene in human ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 62: 6717–6723.
- Yamamoto T, Ebisuya M, Ashida F, Okamoto K, Yonehara S, Nishida E. (2006). Continuous ERK activation downregulates antiproliferative genes throughout G1 phase to allow cell-cycle progression. *Curr Biol* **16**: 1171–1182.
- Yeung K, Seitz T, Li S, Janosch P, McFerran B, Kaiser C *et al.* (1999). Suppression of Raf-1 kinase activity and MAP kinase signalling by RKIP. *Nature* **401**: 173–177.
- Yoon S, Seger R. (2006). The extracellular signal-regulated kinase: multiple substrates regulate diverse cellular functions. *Growth Factors* 24: 21–44.
- Zarubin T, Han J. (2005). Activation and signaling of the p38 MAP kinase pathway. *Cell Res* **15**: 11–18.
- Zebisch A, Staber PB, Delavar A, Bodner C, Hiden K, Fischereder K *et al.* (2006). Two transforming C-RAF germline mutations identified in patients with therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Res* **66**: 3401–3408.
- Zhu K, Hamilton AD, Sebti SM. (2003). Farnesyltransferase inhibitors as anticancer agents: current status. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* 4: 1428–1435.